



05 AUG 2009

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

McKenna Long & Aldridge
1900 K Street N W
Washington DC 20006

In re Application of	:	
BAEK et al.	:	
Application No.: 10/560,252	:	DECISION ON PETITION
PCT No.: PCT/KR2004/001262	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)
Int. Filing Date: 28 May 2004	:	
Priority Date: 30 May 2003	:	
Attorney Docket No.: 7950.049.00	:	
For: HOME NETWORK SYSTEM	:	

This decision is issued in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) filed 07 July 2009 to revive the above-entitled application.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and the application remains abandoned.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a proper reply in a timely manner to the Notification of Missing Requirement (Form DO/EO/905) mailed 04 February 2008, which set forth a two (2) month time period for a response. A response was not filed and no extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, this application became abandoned at midnight on 04 April 2008.

37 CFR 1.137(b) permits the filing of a petition to revive an abandoned application where the abandonment resulted from an unintentional delay. A grantable petition under this section must include: (1) the required reply, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee required by law; (3) a statement that the "entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional;" and (4) any terminal disclaimer and fee required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c). Items (2) - (4) have been satisfied.

With regard to Item (1), the proper response was the submission of the declaration of the inventors which complies with 37 CFR 1.497(a) and (b). A review of the declaration filed on 07 July 2009 reveals that the declaration is not in an acceptable form. Petitioner has provided a defective executed composite declaration. A composite declaration under 37 CFR 1.497(a)-(b) requires that the declaration must be complete and identify each inventor in each set of declarations provided. The composite declaration is defective because it

contains multiple duplicate sheets. This suggests that the enclosed declaration was constructed from numerous complete declarations or that the inventors forwarded to counsel only the signature pages of the declaration. Either alternative renders the submitted declaration defective under 37 CFR 1.497. While each inventor need not execute the same oath or declaration, where individual declarations are executed, they must be submitted as individual declarations rather than combined into one declaration. (See MPEP 201.03 B. Oath or Declaration.)

Further, the inventors have executed the declaration as the "first" or "second" inventor, which is improper.

If reconsideration on the merits of this petition is desired, an appropriate response to this decision must be filed within **TWO (2) MONTHS** from the mail date of this decision. Any reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed to: Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.



Anthony Smith
Attorney-Advisor
Office of PCT Legal Administration
Tel.: 571-272-3298
Facsimile: 571-273-0459